

List of Contents

Preface.....	5
List of Abbreviations	11
List of Charts and Tables	13
1. Introduction	15
1.1. The Significance of the European Union	15
1.2. Challenge.....	17
1.3. Structure of the Book	18
1.4. Lobbying – a Definition	18
1.5. Representation of Interests as a Service – an Economic Interpretation	24
1.6. General Conditions in Japan and the European Union	27
1.7. General Conditions, Targets and Instruments in the Representation of Companies.....	34
2. Development of the EU	37
2.1. From the European Community for Coal and Steel All the Way to the Treaty of Maastricht	37
2.2. Ongoing Development from the Treaty of Maastricht to the Present Day.....	39
3. EU Institutions and Procedures as the General Conditions for the Representation of Interests.....	45
3.1. Institutions of the EU	45
3.1.1. EU Council.....	45
3.1.2. European Commission	55
3.1.3. European Parliament	64
3.1.4. Committee of Regions.....	71
3.1.5. Economic and Social Affairs Committee.....	72
3.2. National Representations and International Bodies	73
3.2.1. Permanent Japanese Representation with the EU	73

3.2.2. Boards and Forums.....	74
3.3. Interaction of Institutions within the EU Legislation Process	76
3.3.1. Fundamental Considerations	76
3.3.2. Consultation Procedure	79
3.3.3. Cooperation Procedure (Article 252 EC)	80
3.3.4. Co-decision Procedure (Article 251 EC).....	80
4. Objectives in the Representation of Interests	87
4.1. Representation of Interests as an Intermediary System.....	87
4.2. Exogenic and Endogenic Objectives in the Representation of Interests	88
4.3. Mutual Transparency of Information	90
5. Structural and Process-Related Instruments in the Representation of Interests	93
5.1. Structural Instruments	93
5.1.1. The Role of Japanese Administration in the Representation of Interests in the EU	93
5.1.2. Federations	99
5.1.2.1. Problem of Heterogeneity	99
5.1.2.2. European Federations	110
5.1.2.3. National Federations.....	112
5.1.2.4. Other Interest Groups	117
5.1.3. Company Representatives	118
5.1.4. External Service Providers	120
5.1.4.1. Structural differences.....	120
5.1.4.2. Law Offices	121
5.1.4.3. Consultant Office.....	122
5.1.4.4. Individual Consultants.....	122
5.1.5. Delegation of One's Own Employees to an Institution.....	123
5.1.6. Possible Combinations of the Instruments Presented	124
5.1.7. Coordinator of Instruments for the Representation of Interests	125
5.2. Process-Related Instruments.....	127
5.2.1. Distinctions.....	127
5.2.2. Mono-Process Instruments	127
5.2.2.1. Telephone Communication	127
5.2.2.2. E-mail	128

5.2.2.3. Personal Visits	128
5.2.2.4. Briefings	129
5.2.2.5. Qualified Response.....	130
5.2.3. Poly-Process Instruments	131
5.2.3.1. Workshops.....	131
5.2.3.2. Parliamentary Evenings.....	131
6. Evaluation of Structured Instruments and Proposal of a Holistic Model for Structuring the Effective and Efficient Representation of Interests	133
6.1. Qualitative Evaluation.....	133
6.1.1. Personal Requirements in the Representation of Interests	133
6.1.2. Consideration and Assessment.....	141
6.1.3. Evaluation of Possible Combinations of Structural Instruments and Interim Quality-Related Result	142
6.2. Quantitative Evaluation.....	143
6.2.1. Cost of Working Through a Federation	143
6.2.2. Full Cost Accounting for a Company Representative Office in Brussels	144
6.2.3. Full Cost Accounting for an External Service Provider....	146
6.2.4. Result.....	147
6.3. Overall Model for Structuring the Effective and Efficient Representation of Interests	149
7. Examples of the Representation of Interests with Institutions of the EU.....	155
7.1. An Insurance Company: Directive for the Harmonisation of Company Pension Plans.....	157
7.1.1. Challenge.....	157
7.1.2. Relevant Institutions of the EU	157
7.1.3. Structural and Process Instruments Used	158
7.2. A Company in the Recycling Industry: End-of-Life Vehicle Directive	158
7.2.1. Challenge.....	158
7.2.2. Relevant Institutions of the EU	159
7.2.3. Structural and Process Instruments Used	159
7.3. A Company in the Traffic Safety Industry: Directive Covering Frontal Collisions	159

7.3.1. Challenge	159
7.3.2. Relevant Institutions of the EU	159
7.3.3. Structural and Process Instruments Used	160
7.4. A Company in the Product Security Industry: Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) between the EU and the USA...	161
7.4.1. Challenge	161
7.4.2. Relevant Institutions of the EU	161
7.4.3. Structural and Process Instruments Used	161
8. Summary and Outlook	163
List of Literature	167