

Contents

Contents	5
List of tables	7
List of abbreviations	9
Foreword and acknowledgements	13
1 Public administrations as key players of Europeanisation	15
1.1 The countries analysed	15
1.2 Europeanisation perspective and focus of the study	17
1.3 EU-Europeanisation in the context of transformation	22
1.4 Approach	25
1.5 Overview on theses	26
2 Phases of Europeanisation: EU increases pressure on candidates	30
2.1 First phase (1988-1991): first contacts and incentives for institutional learning	30
2.2 Second phase (1992-1994): Europe Agreements as the backbones of institutional relations	32
2.3 Third phase (1995-1997): the pre-accession strategy and the ‘accession turn’ in the relationship with the candidates	35
2.4 Fourth phase (1998-2002): the period of membership negotiations and patronised Europeanisation	38
2.5 Fifth phase (2003/04 onwards): post-negotiation and post-accession – a new relationship among equals?	42
2.6 Conclusions	43
3 Uniform pressure of Europeanisation – differentiated national solutions	46
3.1 Political transformation and evolving political systems	46
3.1.1 System change, founding elections and constitution-making	47
3.1.2 Systems of government and key features of the political systems	51
3.1.3 Electoral and party systems	57
3.1.4 Political membership criteria	59
3.1.5 Conclusions	60
3.2 Transformation of public administrations	61
3.2.1 Administrative traditions and post-communist problems	61
3.2.1.1 Major European politico-administrative traditions	61
3.2.1.2 Administrative traditions of the CEEC-5	68
3.2.1.3 Patterns of communist public administration and post-communist problems	71
3.2.2 Legal frameworks and administrative reforms	73
3.2.2.1 Overarching reform targets	75
3.2.2.2 Legal framework and civil service acts	78
3.2.2.3 Legal provisions for human resources management	82
3.2.2.4 Training of staff	85
3.2.3 Staffing levels	89
3.2.4 Normalisation and EU-Europeanisation	93

3.2.5 Establishment of EU units	97
3.2.6 EU assistance for administrations and future reform demands	100
3.2.7 Conclusions: differentiation prevails	104
3.2.7.1 Country-specific findings	104
3.2.7.2 Overall assessment	108
3.3 The management of EU affairs	110
3.3.1 The case of Hungary	113
3.3.1.1 Central leadership: the Foreign Ministry	113
3.3.1.2 Decision-making structures	115
3.3.1.3 Negotiation delegation: between Budapest and Brussels	117
3.3.2 The case of the Czech Republic	118
3.3.2.1 Central leadership: the Foreign Ministry	118
3.3.2.2 Decision-making structures	119
3.3.2.3 Negotiation delegation: between Prague and Brussels	120
3.3.3 The case of Poland	121
3.3.3.1 Central leadership: shifts within the power triangle	121
3.3.3.2 Decision-making structures	125
3.3.3.3 Negotiation delegation: between Warsaw and Brussels	126
3.3.4 The case of Estonia	128
3.3.4.1 Central leadership: the Prime Minister	128
3.3.4.2 Decision-making structures	129
3.3.4.3 Negotiation delegation: between Tallinn and Brussels	131
3.3.5 The case of Slovenia	132
3.3.5.1 Central leadership: the Prime Minister and the GOEA	132
3.3.5.2 Decision-making structures	134
3.3.5.3 Negotiation delegation: between Ljubljana and Brussels	134
3.3.6 The Brussels' end of national decision-making: missions to the European Union	135
3.3.6.1 Phases	136
3.3.6.2 Structures and size	137
3.3.6.3 Functions	145
3.3.6.4 From missions to permanent representations	147
3.3.6.5 Assessment	154
3.3.7 Conclusions	155
3.4 Overall conclusions	157
4 Unconsolidated EU decision-making systems: new opportunity structures and new demands in the period of membership	162
4.1 New opportunity structures and demands	162
4.2 Trends and scenarios on future developments	170
4.3 Outlook	174
5 Conclusions	175
5.1 Five phases	175
5.2 Ministerial administrations and EU decision-making systems: national differentiation	178
5.3 After membership: new opportunities and ongoing consolidation	181
5.4 Europeanisation reconsidered	183
Bibliography	186