

Contents

A. Introduction	23
I. Presentation of Case Study	23
II. Methodology	24
B. The Notion of “Sovereignty”	28
I. The Persisting Importance of the Concept of State Sovereignty	28
II. Material Interpretation of Sovereignty	31
III. Formal Interpretation	33
IV. Distinction Between Different Levels of Sovereignty	36
V. Territorial Sovereignty as a Feature of Sovereignty	38
1. The Term “Territorial Sovereignty” as Departing from the Formal Concept of Sovereignty	39
2. The Term “Territorial Sovereignty” as Departing from the Material Concept of Sovereignty	40
3. Analysis of Commentator’s Opinions on the Relationship Between “Physical Control of a Territory” and “Territorial Sovereignty”	41
a) The Opinion which Totally Rejects the Difference Between Territorial Sovereignty and Physical Control	42
b) Theories Involving a “Material Corrective” in a Formal Concept of Territorial Sovereignty	44
c) The Strictly Formal Concept of Territorial Sovereignty as Opposed to Physical Control	47
d) The Substantiation of a Purely Formal Concept of Territorial Sovereignty	50
e) The Continuing Terminological Confusion	52
f) Summary	54
VI. Conclusion	56
C. Historical Examples	57
I. The Free City of Danzig (1920–1939)	58

1. The Legal Framework Contained in the Peace Treaty of Versailles	59
2. The Free City's Dependence on the League of Nations and its High Commissioner	60
3. Polish Rights and Prerogatives with Respect to the Free City	61
4. Classification of the Status of the Free City by Authors	63
5. Evaluation of the Free City's Status	64
6. Conclusion	67
II. The Saar Territory (1920–1935)	67
1. The Legal Framework Set by the Treaty of Versailles	68
a) General Provisions	68
b) The Role of the Governing Commission as Set Forth in the Relevant Treaty Provisions	69
c) The Actual Extent of the Governing Commission's Power	70
d) The Military and Police Functions of the Mission	71
e) Means of Participation by the Saar Population	71
2. The Legal Status of the Saar Territory	72
a) Was the Saar Territory a State under International Law?	72
b) The Range of Opinions among Scholars Regarding the Issue of Sovereignty over the Saar Territory	73
c) Analysis	74
3. Conclusion	75
III. Leticia (1933–1934)	76
1. The <i>Coup de Force</i> of 1 September 1932	76
2. The Peace Keeping Efforts of the LON	77
3. The LON Administration in Leticia	78
4. The Final Settlement of the Crisis	78
5. Evaluation of Leticia's Legal Status and Concluding Remarks	79
IV. The Free Territory of Trieste	79
1. The Route to the Statute of an Internationalised Trieste	80
2. The Provisions of the Paris Peace Treaty with Italy (the "Peace Treaty") Concerning Trieste	81
a) The Instrument for the Provisional Regime of the Free Territory of Trieste	81
b) The Permanent Statute of the Free Territory of Trieste	82

a) The Controversy about the Sovereignty over West Irian Following Indonesian Independence	102
b) An Exit from the Crisis	103
2. The Provisions of the Agreement Concerning West New Guinea and Subsequent Documents	104
a) The UN Administrator	104
b) The First Phase of the UNTEA Administration	104
c) The Second Phase: Indonesian Administration and Self-Determination	105
d) Financing the Mission	106
e) The Issuance of Passports and Consular Protection	106
3. The Course of Events Following the Signature of the Dutch-Indonesian-Agreement of 15 August 1962	106
4. Analysis	108
VII. Namibia (South West Africa)	110
1. History	110
a) South West Africa before the World War II	110
b) The Dispute Between South Africa and the UN	111
aa) The Decisions of the General Assembly, the Security Council and the ICJ	111
bb) The UN Council for South West Africa – a Supposed Executive Organ	112
cc) The Way to Independence	113
2. The Different Evaluations of the Legal Effects of the UN Action	115
a) The Arguments Raised Against the Legality of the Revocation of the Mandate	115
b) The Reasoning of the ICJ	116
3. Conclusion	117
VIII. East Timor	118
1. History of East Timor until the Popular Consultation	119
2. The Popular Consultation and the Events thereafter	122
a) The Grave Situation in the Aftermath of the Consultation	122
b) The Creation of INTERFRET	123
3. The Period of the UN Transitional Administration	124
a) Events after the End of the Indonesian Occupation	124

b) The Legal Framework of the International Involvement	125
aa) The Legal Basis	125
bb) The Competence of the Security Council	125
cc) The Key Provisions of UNSCR 1272	126
dd) Important UNTAET-Regulations Concerning the Structure of the "State"	127
ee) The Applicable Law in East Timor	128
ff) The Courts System	130
gg) The Establishment of Village and Sub-District Development Coun- cils	132
4. The Status of East Timor until its Accession to Independence on 19 May 2002	133
a) The Status of East Timor Before the Indonesian Invasion	134
b) The Status of East Timor During the Indonesian Occupation	134
c) East Timor's Status During the Transitional UN Administration	135
5. Conclusion	137
IX. Bosnia and Herzegovina	139
1. History	139
a) History prior to 1990	139
b) History after 1990	140
aa) The Civil War	140
bb) The Dayton Peace Agreement	141
2. Organisation of the State	142
a) The Legal Character of the Constitution	142
b) The Democratic Legitimacy of the Constitution	142
c) The Key Provisions	143
aa) The Territorial Structure of Bosnia and Herzegovina	143
bb) Provisions Concerning the Unity of Bosnia and Herzegovina and the Protection of Human Rights	144
cc) The Institutions of Bosnia and Herzegovina	145
(1) The Parliamentary Assembly	145
(2) The Presidency	145
(3) The Council of Ministers	146
(4) The Constitutional Court	147
(5) The Central Bank	147
(6) The Human Rights Commission	147
d) The Principle of Ethnic Proportion	147

3. Powers of the International Community	149
a) Powers of the Civilian Component	149
aa) The High Representative for the Implementation of the Peace Agreement	150
(1) The Mandate of the High Representative	150
(2) The Fulfilment of the Mandate	151
(3) The Issue of who Created the Role of High Representative	152
(4) The Legal Basis for the High Representative's Mandate	153
bb) The Peace Implementation Council	153
cc) The International Police Task Force (IPTF)	154
(1) The Legal Basis for the IPTF Activity	154
(2) The Mandate of the IPTF	155
(3) The Replacement of IPTF by the European Union Police Mission (EUPM)	156
dd) The Representation of the International Community in Bosnian Institutions	156
ee) The Brcko-Case – an Example of the Extensive International Influence	157
b) Powers of the Military Component	158
aa) The Legal Basis for the IFOR Activity	158
bb) The Competencies of IFOR	160
4. Analysis of the International Status	162
a) The Status of the Entities	162
b) Is Bosnia and Herzegovina a Federation or a Confederation?	163
c) The International Status of Bosnia and Herzegovina – Protectorate or Sovereign State?	166
5. Conclusion	168
X. Results	170
D. Kosovo	172
I. Introduction	172
1. From the Illyrian Settlements to the League of Prizren	172
2. From the First Balkan War to the NATO Air Campaign	173
3. Methodology	176
II. The Legal Framework	177
1. The Political Background to the Relevant Documents	178
a) UNSCR 1244 Including Annexes 1 and 2	178

b) The Military Technical Agreement	179
c) The Rambouillet Accords	179
2. The Key Provisions of UNSCR 1244 and of the Documents Referred to therein	180
a) The Key Provisions Concerning the International Military Presence	180
b) The Provisions Concerning the Return of Certain Armed Yugoslav and Serb Personnel	180
c) The Key Provisions Concerning the International Civil Presence	181
d) Important General Provisions	181
aa) Jurisdiction of the International Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	181
bb) Duration of the Mandate	181
cc) Provisions Regarding the Future Status of Kosovo	182
e) The Legal Framework for the Interim Administration and the Provisional Institutions of Kosovo Outlined in the Rambouillet Accords	182
f) The Key Provisions of the Military Technical Agreement	183
III. The Factual Scenario	183
1. The Military Component	183
a) The Structure of KFOR	183
aa) The Horizontal (Operational) Structure	184
bb) The Vertical (Command) Structure	184
(1) The Long Way to an Agreement	184
(2) The Contents of the Helsinki-Agreement	185
cc) The Compatibility of the Command Structure with the Basic Legal Documents	185
b) KFOR-Activities in Kosovo Eligible for Exceeding the Mandate	187
2. The Civil Component	188
a) The Competencies of the Special Representative and his Deputies	188
b) The Delegation to Other International Organisations – the “Four Pillars” of UNMIK	189
aa) The First Pillar: Humanitarian Aid/Police and Justice	189
bb) The Second Pillar: Civil Administration	189
cc) The Third Pillar: Democracy and Institution Building	189
dd) The Fourth Pillar: Economic Reconstruction	190
c) The Gradual Transfer of Powers to Local Authorities	190
aa) The Establishment of the Joint Interim Administrative Structures ..	191
bb) The Constitutional Framework	192
(1) Transferred Powers	192

(2) Reserved Powers	192
(3) Institutions	193
(a) The Assembly	193
(b) The President	194
(c) The Government	194
(d) The Judicial System	195
(e) The Ombudsperson and Other Independent Bodies and Offices	195
(f) Appraisal	197
(4) The Transfer Council	197
cc) Elections	197
d) Singular Measures Taken by UNMIK Eligible for Exceeding the Man- date	198
aa) The Introduction of a New Monetary System	198
bb) UNMIK Activities in the Banking and Finance Sector and Econo- mic Affairs	201
cc) UNMIK's Activities in the Justice Sector	202
IV. The Future Status of Kosovo	204
1. Which Options are Left Open by UNSCR 1244?	205
a) The Right of Disposition as a Core Attribute of Sovereignty	205
b) Has Yugoslavia's Right to Dispose of Kosovo been Delegated?	205
2. Is there a Kosovar Right to Self-Determination?	207
a) The Existence of a General Right to Secession for all Peoples	207
b) Can the Notion of Non-Self-Governing Territories be Extended?	209
c) Is there a Right to Secession in Special Cases Beyond the Context of De-colonisation?	209
d) Specific Arguments Against the Existence of an Exceptional Right to Secession	211
e) Is there a Right to Secession in Case Yugoslavia Falls Apart?	212
3. Prospects for a Solution to the Final Status Issue	213
V. Summary	215
E. The Principle of Democracy and the International Administration of Terri- tories	217
I. The Conflict Between the International Administration of Territories and De- mocracy	217
II. The UN's Commitment to Democracy	219

III. Limits on Democracy	220
1. Limits on Democracy Derived from the Concept of Trusteeship	220
2. Limits on Democracy by Virtue of Chapter VII of the UN-Charter	221
3. Further Factors Determining the Degree of International Tutelage	222
IV. Limits on the Suspension of Democratic Rights	223
1. "Good Governance" as Guiding Principle	223
2. Human Rights Standards	225
V. Conclusion	229
F. Conclusion	231
I. Different Forms of International Territorial Administrations	231
1. States under Permanent International Protection	231
2. States under Temporary International Protection	231
3. Internationalised Territories	232
4. Trusteeships under Direct International Rule	232
II. The Two Conflicting Trends	232
1. The Bolstering of International Governance	232
2. Strengthening the Principle of Democracy	233
III. The Interaction Between the Trends	233
IV. Outlook	235
Bibliography	238
Index	256