

Table of Contents

Joseph MARKO, University of Graz (Austria)

Preface 7

List of Common Abbreviations 19

Thomas KRUESSMANN, University of Graz (Austria)

Introduction 21

Truth-Finding and Trial Fairness: Two Competing Goals?

Ksenija TURKOVIĆ, University of Zagreb (Croatia)

The Value of the ICTY as a Historiographical Tool..... 29

1. Introduction..... 29
2. Constraints in Providing a Historical Interpretation of the Past 32
3. Performing the Work of Historical Memory the ICTY Puts at Risk
the Exercise of Justice..... 40
4. Conclusion..... 42

Stefan KIRSCH, Rechtsanwalt (Germany)

Finding the Truth at International Criminal Tribunals..... 47

1. Introduction..... 47
2. The Nature of the Proceedings before the ICC..... 48
3. Trial Practice before the ICTY and the ICTR 52
4. Major Differences between the Procedural Regimes..... 56
5. Proposal 58
6. Conclusion..... 61

The Interrelationship of Substantive / Procedural Law and its Relevance for a Fair Trial

Matjaž AMBROŽ, University of Ljubljana (Slovenia)

**A Need for Differentiation between Justification and Excuse in
International Criminal Law**..... 65

1. Introduction..... 65

2. The <i>Erdemović</i> Sentencing Judgment.....	66
a. Background.....	66
b. Judge Cassese Dissenting	68
3. Two Extreme Positions	71
4. The Differentiating Solution	74
5. Conclusion.....	75

Ivona JOSIPOVIĆ, University of Michigan (U.S.A.)

The ICTY's Approach to Customary Law: A Case Study of the *Mens Rea* of Imputed Command Responsibility..... 77

1. Introduction.....	77
2. Background	78
a. Post-World War II Case Law.....	78
aa. Yamashita v. Styler.....	78
bb. U.S. v. von List et al.....	79
cc. U.S. v. von Leeb et al.	80
dd. Conclusion.....	80
b. Treaty Law	81
aa. Additional Protocol to Geneva Convention	81
bb. Draft Code of Crimes Against the Peace and Security of Mankind.....	83
cc. Rome Statute	83
dd. Conclusion.....	84
3. The ICTY's Approach to the <i>Mens Rea</i> of Imputed Command Responsibility	84
a. The Statute of the ICTY	84
b. ICTY Case Law and the Application of the <i>Mens Rea</i> Requirement	85
4. Analysis of the ICTY's Interpretation of Customary Law.....	89
a. Overview.....	89
b. <i>Nullum Crimen</i> in International Criminal Law	89
c. No Violation of <i>Nullum Crimen</i>	90
d. Critics.....	90
e. The Modern Approach to Custom	92
f. Conclusion.....	94

Kerstin Bree CARLSON, University of California, Berkeley (U.S.A.)

Joint Criminal Enterprise: The ICTY's Contentious Addition to International Criminal Law 97

1. Introduction.....	97
2. <i>Tadić</i> and the Development of Joint Criminal Enterprise.....	99
a. The Facts of <i>Tadić</i>	99
b. The Law of <i>Tadić</i>	101
c. The <i>Tadić</i> Appeals Chamber	103
d. Problems in <i>Tadić</i>	105

3. <i>Kvočka</i> and the Evolution of Joint Criminal Enterprise	106
a. Background of the Case	106
b. Findings of the Trial Court	108
c. <i>Kvočka</i> Appeals Chamber	110
d. Problems with <i>Kvočka</i>	111
4. <i>Gotovina</i> and the Future of Joint Criminal Enterprise	114
5. Conclusion	115

Kai AMBOS, University of Göttingen (Germany)

Joint Criminal Enterprise and Command Responsibility 117

1. Joint Criminal Enterprise and Command Responsibility in Modern Case Law: the Basics	117
a. Joint Criminal Enterprise	117
b. Command Responsibility	120
2. The Simultaneous Application of JCE and Command Responsibility	121
3. Theoretical Considerations on JCE and Command Responsibility	125
a. JCE	125
aa. General	125
bb. JCE and the Traditional Law of Participation	126
cc. Classification with Regard to the <i>Lex Lata</i>	129
dd. JCE and the Principle of Culpability	132
b. Command Responsibility	136
4. Final Considerations: JCE, Command Responsibility and <i>Organisationsherrschaft</i>	138

Fair Trial Standards in Human Rights Law as well as in the Civil and Common Law Traditions

Roza PATI, St. Thomas University School of Law (U.S.A.)

Fair Trial Standards under Human Rights Treaty Law and the ICTY: A Process of Cross-Fertilization? 147

1. Introduction	147
2. Fair Trial Guarantees in Human Rights Law and Jurisprudence	148
a. The Jurisprudence of the Human Rights Committee	148
aa. Right to Liberty and Security of Person	148
bb. Right to a Fair Trial	150
cc. Right to Judicial Review	154
dd. Freedom from Torture	155
b. The Jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights	156
aa. Right to Liberty and Security of Person	156
bb. Right to a Fair Trial	156
cc. Right to Judicial Review	159

3. Fair Trial Guarantees in the Statute and Rules of the ICTY	160
a. Overview	160
b. Pre-Trial Rights	161
c. Rights at Trial	163
d. Rights to and in Appeal	166
e. Conclusion	166
4. The Impact of Human Rights Law and its Authoritative Interpretation on the Jurisprudence of the ICTY	167
a. Introductory Observations	167
b. Fair Trial	168
aa. Nullum crimen sine lege	168
bb. Right to Presumption of Innocence as Related to Release Pending Trial	169
cc. Right to Be Tried Before an Independent and Impartial Tribunal	171
dd. Equality of Arms	172
ee. Right to a Reasoned Opinion	173
c. Other Procedural Provisions	173
aa. <i>Stare decisis</i>	173
bb. Contempt of Court	174
d. Rules of Evidence	175
e. Sentencing	175
f. Right to Appeal	178
5. The Impact of ICTY Statute, Rules and Case Law on Other International Tribunals and Human Rights Courts and Commissions	179
6. Conclusion	183

Feruza DJAMALOVA / Rakhmadjon SOBIROV (Uzbekistan)

The Right to a Fair Trial: Common Law vs. Civil Law in the ICTY Proceedings	185
1. Introduction	185
2. The Concept of Fair Trial	186
3. Hearing by an Independent and Impartial Tribunal: the Role of Judges	188
a. Basic Concepts	188
b. The Role of Judges in the Common Law Procedural Model	189
c. The Role of Judges in the Civil Law Procedural Model	191
d. The ICTY: The Role of Judges in International Criminal Proceedings	192
4. Equality of Arms between the Prosecution and the Defence	195
a. Overview	195
b. The Role of the Prosecution and Defence in the Common Law Procedural Model	196
c. The Role of the Prosecution and Defence in the Civil Law Procedural Model	197
d. The ICTY: Equality of Arms	197

5. Equality before the Law: Victims' Fair Trial.....	200
a. Overview.....	200
b. Victims' Fair Trial in the Common Law Tradition.....	201
c. Victims' Fair Trial in the Civil Law Tradition	202
d. Victims' Fair Trial in International Criminal Proceedings: The ICTY's Experience	203
6. Conclusion.....	205

Albin ESER, Director Emeritus of the Max-Planck-Institute for Foreign and International Criminal Law, Freiburg (Germany), Former Judge at the ICTY in The Hague (The Netherlands)

The "Adversarial" Procedure: A Model Superior to Other Trial Systems in International Criminal Justice?	207
1. Preliminary Remark	207
2. Common Assumptions – Personal Concerns	208
3. The Objectives of International Criminal Justice as Criteria for Success or Failure.....	209
4. The Length of Proceedings: Causes	212
5. Causes Conditioned by the Adversarial System.....	216
a. The Proceeding as Party-driven rather than Judge-led.....	216
b. The Separation of the "Prosecution Case" and "Defence Case".....	218
6. Changes Blocked by the Statute and / or the Procedural Structure? ..	220
7. The Need and Chance for Procedural Changes within the Basic Adversarial Model.....	222
a. Measures for the Expediency of the Proceeding.....	223
b. Measures for Ascertaining the Truth	224
c. Positive Side-effects upon the Mission of International Criminal Justice – Outlook	226

Protection of Victims as a New Fair Trial Dimension in International Criminal Justice

Besa ARIFI, South East European University (Republic of Macedonia)

Human Rights Aspects of Witness Protection and its Importance for the ICTY	231
1. Introduction.....	231
2. The Balancing of Rights	232
3. The Anonymity Issue	234
a. Introduction	234
b. The Case Law of the ECtHR.....	235
c. The Case Law of the ICTY.....	238

4. The *Chinkin-Leigh* Debate Reconsidered 241
 5. Conclusion 247

Angela CARSTENSEN, Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe-University (Germany)

The Defendant’s Right to a Fair Trial – Does Witness Protection Violate the Defendant’s Right to Confront the Witness against Him? 249

1. Introduction 249
 2. The Right to a Fair Trial under Article 6 ECHR and Article 14 ICCPR and Witness Protection in Criminal Proceedings 251
 a. Overview 251
 b. Defining the Right to a Fair Trial 251
 c. The Right to the Assistance of an Interpreter 253
 d. The Defendant’s Right to Confront the Witness against Him 254
 e. Granting Anonymity – a Legitimate Measure to Protect Witnesses? 255
 3. The Right to a Fair Trial and Witness Protection under the Relevant ICTY Provisions – Curtailing the Defendant’s Rights or Necessary Limitation? 257
 a. Overview 257
 b. The Right to a Fair Trial 258
 c. Translation of Documents into the Language of the Accused 259
 d. Disclosure of Evidence and Witness-related Material 260
 e. Further Protective Measures 263
 f. Conclusion 266
 4. The Right to a Fair Trial under the Applicable Law in Bosnia and Herzegovina 266
 a. Overview 266
 b. The Right to the Free Assistance of an Interpreter 268
 c. The Defendant’s Right to Confront the Witness against Him and Witness Protection 270
 aa. Overview 270
 bb. Safeguarding the Defendant’s Right to a Fair Trial – the Constitutional Court’s and the Human Rights Chamber’s Jurisprudence at a Glance 270
 cc. The Defendant’s Right to a Fair Trial and Witness Protection: The Case of *A.P.* and *Ž.C.* 273
 dd. Assessment of the Constitutional Court’s and the Human Rights Chamber’s Jurisprudence 274
 5. The Protection of Victim-Witnesses in the Light of the Defendant’s Right to a Fair Trial in War Crimes Proceedings 274
 a. Overview 274
 b. Available Protective Measures under the Law on Protection of Witnesses under Threat and Vulnerable Witnesses and the BiH CPC 275
 c. The Defendant’s Right to Confront the Witness against Him in the Light of Witness Protection 278

aa. The Jurisprudence of the WCC	278
bb. Assessment of the WCC Jurisprudence	281
6. Conclusion.....	282

Romana SCHWEIGER, Associate Legal Officer ICTY, OTP
(The Hague, The Netherlands)

Protecting Witnesses in International Criminal Trials: The Experience of the ICTY **283**

1. Introduction.....	283
2. The Particularities of Witnesses Protection at the Tribunal	283
3. The Tribunal's Legal Framework for Witness Protection	284
4. The Role of the Victims and Witnesses Section and Non-judicial Protective Measures	287
5. The Enforcement of Witness Protection	289
6. Witness Protection in the Light of Co-operation with National Courts.....	291
7. Concluding Remarks	292

Gabriel AMANN (University of Graz, Austria)

Reparation for Victims in International Criminal Justice..... **295**

1. Introduction.....	295
2. Retributive vs. Restorative Justice.....	296
3. Reparation as a Key Concept of Victim Protection	297
a. General Rule for Reparations in International Law	297
b. The Victim's Right to Reparation.....	297
c. Enforcement of the Right to Reparation.....	300
4. Reparations in International Criminal Justice	301
a. The Tribunals of Nuremberg and Tokyo	301
b. The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals for the Former Yugoslavia and Rwanda ...	301
c. The Reparations Regime of the International Criminal Court.....	304
aa. Overview	304
bb. The Legal Definition of the Victim	304
cc. The Right to Reparation before the ICC	305
dd. The Trust Fund for Victims	306
5. Conclusions	307

Selected Fair Trial Issues in the Law of International Criminal Procedure

Ignaz STEGMILLER, University of Göttingen (Germany)

The Pre-Investigation Stage of the ICTY and ICC Compared 311

The Decision to Initiate an Investigation (Art. 18 (1) ICTY Statute and Art. 53 (1) ICC Statute Respectively)

- 1. Introduction..... 311
- 2. Procedural Law Sources of the ICTY/ICC 312
 - a. Overview 312
 - b. ICTY Statute 312
 - c. Rules of Procedure and Evidence..... 312
 - d. Other Specific Provisions 313
 - e. ICC Statute 313
 - f. ICC Rules of Procedure and Evidence 314
 - g. Other Specific Provisions of the ICC..... 315
- 3. An Overview of the Proceedings at the ICTY/ICC 316
 - a. ICTY Proceedings 316
 - b. ICC Proceedings 317
- 4. The Pre-Investigation Phase 319
 - a. The Trigger Mechanism, especially *proprio motu* Proceedings according to Art. 15 ICC Statute 319
 - b. Communications under Art. 15 ICC Statute 323
- 5. Decision to Initiate an Investigation (Art. 18 (1) ICTY Statute and Art. 15 (3), 53 (1) ICC Statute respectively)..... 328
 - a. Art. 18 (1) ICTY-Statute: Prosecutorial Discretion (or “Opportunity Principle”) 328
 - b. Art. 53 (1) ICC Statute: Generally Obligatory Prosecution (“Legality Principle”) 330
 - aa. The First and Second Parameters 331
 - bb. Third Parameter 332
 - cc. Fourth Parameter 336
 - c. Conclusion 338

Stephen C. THAMAN, Saint Louis University (U.S.A.)

The Role of Plea and Confession Bargaining in International Criminal Courts 341

- 1. Plea Bargaining in Historical Perspective 341
- 2. Current Trends in National Law 343
- 3. Current Position in International Criminal Procedure Law 345
- 4. Conclusion 350

Károly BÁRD, Central European University (Hungary)

The Defendant's Right to Be Present – Can the Right Be Waived?	351
1. Introduction	351
2. Is the Waiver of the Right to be Present at Trial Permissible at all?	352
3. Is Compelling the Accused to be Present Permissible?	357
4. Are there Limits to Compulsion?	360
5. Conclusion	364

Sabine SWOBODA, University of Passau (Germany)

Admitting Relevant and Reliable Evidence **365**

The ICTY's Flexible Approach Towards the Admission of Evidence under Rule 89(C) ICTY RPE	365
1. Introduction	365
2. The U.N. <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals' Rules on Admissibility	366
a. The Basic Human Rights Framework for Admissibility of Evidence	366
b. The Rules of Procedure and Evidence	367
c. The Trial Chamber Guidelines on Admissibility of Evidence	367
3. The Concept of Admissibility, Reliability and Relevance	370
a. The Concept of Relevance	370
b. The Concept of Probative Value	371
c. Reliability as an Inherent Component of Admissibility	371
d. When to Determine Reliability, Relevance and Probative Value?	372
4. The ICTY Case Law on Illegally Obtained Evidence	373
a. Possible Approaches towards Illegally Obtained Evidence	374
aa. Guarding the Court Against Distortions of the Truth-Finding Process	374
bb. Disciplinary Measures and Deterrence Rationale	374
cc. Exclusionary Concepts to Safeguard Judicial Integrity	375
(1) <i>Protective Objective Doctrine</i>	376
(2) <i>Permitting the Accused to Control his Personal Information</i>	377
(3) <i>The General Preference for Balancing Tests</i>	377
b. Evidence Illegally Obtained under the Case Law of the ICTY	378
aa. Evidence Obtained in Violation of Human Rights Standards During Interrogations of a Suspect or Accused	379
(1) <i>Consequences of Denying an Interviewee the Status of a Suspect</i>	379
(2) <i>Statements Obtained in Violation of the Right to Counsel</i>	382
(3) <i>Inadequate Representation of Counsel</i>	384

(4) <i>Violation of the Right to be Informed of the Charges</i>	385
(5) <i>Information Obtained via Unlawful Modes of Investigation</i>	386
(6) <i>Conclusion</i>	388
bb. Evidence Obtained in Violation of the Individual Right to Privacy and Secrecy of Communications	388
(1) <i>Illegal Telephone Intercepts</i>	389
(2) <i>Evidence Obtained in Illegal Search and Seizure Operations</i>	391
5. Conclusion	392
Bibliography	395
List of Contributors	415