

Contents

Abbreviations	11
1. Introduction	13
1.1. Scientific interest	13
1.2. State of research	15
1.3. The case studies	16
1.4. Methodological considerations	18
1.4.1. What we need: form follows function	18
1.4.2. The research design: structured empiricism	21
1.4.3. The data basis	26
1.5. General outline	27
2. The environment of Israel's European policy	29
2.1. The operational environment of Israel's foreign policy system	29
2.1.1. External operational environment	29
2.1.1.1. Global system	31
2.1.1.2. Subordinate systems	32
2.1.1.2.1. The Middle Eastern regional system	32
2.1.1.2.2. The greater EU system	32
2.1.1.2.3. The Euro-Mediterranean and Mediterranean systems	32
2.1.1.2.4. The EU	33
2.1.1.3. Bilateral systems	33
2.1.1.3.1. Relations with EU member states	33
2.1.1.3.2. Relations with Israel's neighbours	35
2.1.1.3.3. Relations with other states	36
2.1.1.4. Dominant bilateral systems	37
2.1.1.4.1. Relations with the United States	37
2.1.1.4.2. Relations with the European Union	39
2.1.1.4.2.1. EC/EU Middle East policy	40
2.1.1.4.2.2. EU Mediterranean policy	41
2.1.1.4.3. Israeli-Palestinian relations	41
2.1.2. Internal operational environment	42
2.1.2.1. Military capability	42
2.1.2.2. Economic capability	43
2.1.2.3. Political structure	45

2.1.2.4.	Competing elites	46
2.1.2.5.	Interest groups	48
2.2.	The psychological environment of Israel's foreign policy system	48
2.2.1.	The attitudinal prism	48
2.2.1.1.	Israel's European trauma	49
2.2.2.	Images – Israel's self-perception	50
2.2.2.1.	Being special	51
2.2.2.2.	Israel's reaction to being special	53
2.2.2.3.	The rebellious victim	55
2.3.	Characteristics of Israel's foreign policy	57
2.3.1.	Primacy of security	57
2.3.2.	<i>Victims nevermore</i>	57
2.3.3.	Resistance to sanctions/limited compliance	58
3.	Political relations: the role of the EC/EU	59
3.1.	The conflict over independent Palestinian agricultural exports	59
3.1.1.	Motivation of the European Parliament	64
3.1.2.	Background of Israel's position	65
3.1.3.	Background of Israel's counterproductive behaviour	66
3.1.4.	Tactic	70
3.1.4.1.	Genscher	70
3.1.4.2.	Rhetoric	71
3.1.4.3.	Threats	72
3.1.4.4.	Lobbyism in Brussels	72
3.1.4.5.	Hibernation	74
3.1.4.6.	Embassy to the EC	75
3.1.5.	The actors	75
3.1.6.	Conclusion	76
3.2.	EC participation at a peace conference	77
3.2.1.	Israel's motivation to agree	80
3.2.1.1.	US role	81
3.2.1.2.	Aid for the region	81
3.2.1.3.	Tame the EC, have France controlled	82
3.2.1.4.	Role of the Kuwait crisis	83
3.2.1.5.	Keep EC amused, safeguard the upgrading of relations	84
3.2.2.	Levy's perception of what was agreed upon with the EC in June	85
3.2.3.	The actors	87
3.2.4.	Conclusion	88
3.3.	A European role in the Grapes of Wrath agreement	89
3.3.1.	Israel's motivation to agree	95
3.3.2.	The actors	97

3.4.	The EU's special envoy to the Middle East	98
3.5.	The Barcelona Process	104
3.5.1.	Nature and aims of the EMP	104
3.5.2.	An assessment after ten years	106
3.5.3.	Israel's perspective	108
3.6.	A third leg – Counterbalance a possible decrease of American commitment	113
3.7.	Actors	119
3.7.1.	The ministerial officials	119
3.7.2.	The politicians	121
3.7.3.	Administrative units	123
3.7.4.	Private interest groups	124

4. Integration policy: association with the EC/EU 125

4.1.	The 1975 Free Trade and Cooperation Agreement	125
4.2.	The situation in the early 90s	127
4.2.1.	The causes and implications of the trade deficit with the EC	129
4.2.2.	The dynamics of further European integration	130
4.3.	The history of scientific cooperation with the EC	132
4.4.	The run-up to the negotiations	134
4.4.1.	Excursion: the EC agrees to negotiations – a success of Israeli policy?	142
4.4.2.	Exploratory talks	144
4.5.	Israel's aims in the negotiations	146
4.5.1.	Confusion over Israel's goal	146
4.5.1.1.	Excursion: implications of EEA status	147
4.5.1.2.	EFTA or EEA status?	150
4.5.2.	Aims in detail	153
4.5.2.1.	Peace dividend	154
4.5.2.2.	More favourable rules of origin	154
4.5.2.3.	Access to the EU's public procurement markets	155
4.5.2.4.	Mutual recognition of diplomas and standards	156
4.5.2.5.	Harmonisation in financial services	156
4.5.2.6.	Better access for agricultural products	157
4.5.2.7.	Admission to the EU's Fourth Framework Programme for Research and Development	158
4.5.2.8.	Political dialogue	159
4.5.2.9.	Boost European investments	160
4.6.	Disputes	160
4.6.1.	The dispute on rules of origin	161
4.6.2.	The dispute on public procurement	164
4.6.3.	The dispute on agricultural products	166

4.6.4.	The dispute on financial services	167
4.6.5.	The internal dispute on Israel's goal	170
4.6.5.1.	Further vision: EEA status	172
4.6.6.	The dispute on the EU's R&D programme	174
4.6.7.	Sign or keep negotiating?	179
4.7.	Israel's behaviour in the negotiations	186
4.7.1.	Rhetoric	187
4.7.1.1.	The deficit argument	187
4.7.1.2.	The peace argument	189
4.7.1.2.1.	Compensate	189
4.7.1.2.2.	Inversion	190
4.7.1.2.3.	A policy change?	192
4.7.1.3.	The moral argument	192
4.7.2.	Sanctions, threats and retaliation	193
4.7.3.	Further measures	195
4.7.3.1.	Marketing tour of Rabin and Peres	195
4.7.3.2.	The German channel	196
4.7.3.3.	The Essen Declaration	198
4.7.3.3.1.	Israel's motivation	198
4.7.3.3.2.	History of the declaration	199
4.7.3.3.3.	Criticism of the Essen Declaration	200
4.7.3.3.4.	Nothing has become of the declaration	201
4.7.3.4.	The name of the agreement	202
4.8.	Actors	202
4.8.1.	The ministerial officials	203
4.8.2.	The Inter-Ministerial Director Generals' Committee for Economic International Affairs	205
4.8.3.	The politicians	207
4.8.3.1.	Politicians in policy formulation	208
4.8.3.1.1.	Peres' inter-ministerial panel	209
4.8.3.1.2.	The Prime Minister	210
4.8.3.2.	Politicians in implementation	211
4.8.4.	A special case: Avi Primor	211
4.8.5.	The role of private interest groups	212
4.9.	Interim conclusions	215
4.9.1.	Has Israel achieved its aims?	215
4.9.2.	Why has Israel been successful?	218
4.9.3.	Conflicting visions	220
4.9.4.	Remarks on Israel's policy style	221
4.9.4.1.	Ill-preparedness	221
4.9.4.2.	Structural coordination deficit	222
4.9.4.3.	Peres' and the Foreign Ministry's weakness	223
4.9.4.4.	Israel's clout	225

5. Israel's European policy	226
5.1. Images and perceptions	226
5.1.1. Self-conception of Israel vis-à-vis Europe	226
5.1.2. Perception of the EU's attitude	228
5.1.2.1. Readiness to explain EU behaviour with anti-Semitism	229
5.1.3. Misperceptions and policy failure	231
5.2. The policy system	232
5.2.1. The politicians	232
5.2.1.1. The role of the Foreign Minister	233
5.2.1.2. The role of the Prime Minister	234
5.2.1.3. The role of further cabinet members	234
5.2.2. The ministerial officials	235
5.2.2.1. The <i>Professionals</i>	236
5.2.3. Bureaucratic units	237
5.2.4. Further actors	237
5.2.4.1. Role of the Knesset	238
5.2.4.2. Interest groups	238
5.2.4.3. Competing elites	239
5.2.5. Structures and processes	239
5.3. Israel's goals vis-à-vis the EU	239
5.3.1. Preventing an EU political role	240
5.3.2. The EU as the facilitator of peace	243
5.3.3. Use the EU's good services	247
5.3.4. EEA status	250
5.3.5. Recognition of Europeaness	251
5.3.6. Has Israel achieved its aims?	251
5.4. The role of the US	253
5.5. Israel's policy style	254
5.5.1. The German channel	254
5.5.2. Portray behaviour as if caused by EU attitude: the issue of the deportees	255
5.5.3. Virtual implementation	257
5.5.4. Hibernation	258
5.5.5. Token role of the EU	259
5.5.6. Bounded slippage and emergencism	261
5.5.7. Politics and economics shall not be linked?	262
6. Conclusion	263
6.1. Has Israel's European Policy changed?	263
6.2. Incompatibilities and conflicts of aims	267
6.2.1. Conflicting aims: contain Europe or embrace Europe?	267

6.2.2.	Incompatible visions: European or Middle Eastern?	268
6.2.3.	Incompatible notions: European or special?	270
6.2.4.	Incompatible perspectives on appropriate foreign behaviour	272
6.3.	Perspectives on Israeli-European relations	274
6.3.1.	Perspective on integration	275
6.3.1.1.	Full EU membership	276
6.3.2.	The future of the EU's contribution	278
6.4.	Usefulness of our model	280
Persons interviewed		281
Reference List		285
Index		323