

Table of Contents

Preface.....	5
I Prolegomena: The Purposes of International Law	11
II Introduction: The Case of Kosovo	35
A History: From Serbian Myth to International Protectorate.....	35
1 The Way to the Kosovo War	35
2 The International Administration in Kosovo.....	37
3 The Unsolved Question of Final Status.....	39
B Presence: The Declaration of Independence of 17 February 2008, the Constitution of the Republic of Kosovo of 15 June 2008, and the EU Commitment to Kosovo	40
1 The Declaration of Independence of 17 February 2008.....	40
2 The Constitution of the Republic of Kosovo of 15 June 2008	43
III The EU Commitment to Kosovo.....	45
IV Thesis: Self-Determination of Peoples as Expression of the Freiheitszweck of International Law	67
A Self-Determination of Peoples as Expression of the <i>Freiheitszweck</i> of International Law	67
B The Term of Self-Determination and Historical Developments.....	70
C Self-Determination and Decolonization	73
D Scope and Content of Self-Determination in Contemporary International Law	73
1 Self-determination in the Åaland-Case	91
2 Self-determination in the Framework of the United Nations Charter	92
3 Self-Determination in the Context of International Jurisdiction.....	96
4 The Subject of Self-Determination: A People.....	97
5 The “Internal” Dimension of Self-Determination: A Right to Autonomy and Self-Government Within a State?.....	100
6 The “External” Dimension of Self-Determination: A Right of Secession to Statehood?	103

V Antithesis: Territorial Integrity as Expression of the <i>Stabilitaetszweck</i> of International Law	109
A Territorial Integrity of States as a Principle of International Law	109
1 The International Legal Order as a System of States	109
2 Territorial Integrity as a Principle of International Law	110
B A Reluctant Approach v. "External" Self-Determination as a Consequence of the <i>Stabilitaetszweck</i> of International Law	112
C The Subject of Territorial Integrity: A State	114
1 The History of the Notion of the State	114
2 Legal Order and Legal Personality.....	115
3 The Montevideo Criteria of Statehood	116
a Permanent Population.....	116
b Defined Territory.....	116
c Government	117
d Capacity to Enter into Relations with the other States.....	118
e Excursion: The Question of Recognition	119
f Further Criteria?	120
4 Definitions of Statehood.....	121
5 The State as Effective Legal Order	123
D Statehood after Secession as Fact.....	123
VI Synthesis: A Right to Secession in Case of Unacceptability as Expression of the <i>Gemeinwohlzweck</i> of International Law	125
A Right to Secession in Case of Unacceptability as Expression of <i>bonum commune</i>	125
B A Right to Secession in the Concrete Case of Kosovo.....	136
C The Fulfillment of the Montevideo Criteria as Precondition for Statehood	144
1 The Question of Statehood in Case of Secession in General	144
2 The Question of Statehood in Case of Kosovo	145
a The Population of the Kosovo	145
b The Territory of the Kosovo.....	145
c The Government of the Kosovo.....	146
d The Capacity of the Kosovo to Enter into Relations with the other States	148
e The Republic of Kosovo as State in the sense of international law	148

VII The Prospective Outcome of the Request for an Advisory Opinion on Kosovo's Declaration of Independence.....	151
A The Request for an Advisory Opinion	151
1 Does the Court have jurisdiction to render this Advisory Opinion?.....	151
a General Remark	152
b Objection: The General Assembly is not at all involved in the case of Kosovo's independence and therefore cannot be regarded as having standing to request the Advisory Opinion concerned	152
c Objection: The Security Council is seized with the question of Kosovo's independence; therefore, the General Assembly is precluded from dealing with this issue.....	153
d Objection: The unilateral declaration of Kosovo's independence gave rise to a dispute between Serbia, the institutions of Kosovo and the States which have recognised Kosovo's independence; since the settlement of an international dispute is a matter for the contentious jurisdiction of the Court the conditions for which are not fulfilled in the present case, the request for an Advisory Opinion constitutes an attempt to circumvent the contentious proceedings	155
e Objection: The Court does not have comprehensive information on the facts relating to Kosovo's Declaration of Independence and thus lacks the necessary basis for rendering the Advisory Opinion concerned	157
f Objection: Kosovo's Declaration of Independence is a political issue und does not therefore constitute a legal question.....	159
2 In view of the Court's discretion to render or deny an Advisory Opinion, would it be proper for the Court to render the opinion in question?	159
3 The Legality of Kosovo's Unilateral Declaration of Independence	161
a General Remark	162
b Argument: There is no rule of international law permitting unilateral secession	162
c Argument: Without such a permissive rule, unilateral secession must be considered illegal, because it does not take place in a "neutral zone" where rights of other States are not negatively affected; unilateral secession <i>per definitionem</i> negatively affects the rights of the State which is the victim of such secession.....	164
d Argument: Since the rights of the State which is the victim of unilateral secession are negatively affected, the traditional rule of international law according to which everything that is not forbidden is allowed cannot apply	165

e	Argument: The traditional rule of international law according to which everything that is not forbidden is allowed is a consequence of the sovereignty of independent States; since the Kosovo, when unilaterally declaring its independence, was not a State, it could avail itself of the said rule in order to justify its action.....	166
f	Argument: A unilateral declaration of independence constitutes a violation of the principle of the territorial integrity of States.....	167
g	Argument: The territorial integrity of States is an overriding principle of international law necessary for the preservation of international peace and security	167
h	On the other side the following arguments in favour of the legality of Kosovo's unilateral Declaration of Independence might be presented:	168
4	Conclusions	169
VIII	Epilogue: The Cases of Abkhazia and Southern Ossetia	173
A	Abkhazia.....	173
B	Southern Ossetia.....	176
C	Recognition of Abkhazia and Southern Ossetia as Independent States	178
D	Evaluation.....	181
Annex 1:	UN Security Council: Resolution 1244 (1999).....	183
Annex 2:	Athisaari Plan.....	191
Annex 3:	EULEX (Council Joint Action 2008/124/CFSP).....	201
Annex 4:	Kosovo Declaration of Independence	209
Annex 5:	Constitution of the Republic of Kosovo	213
Annex 6:	UN General Assembly: Request for Advisory Opinion	281
Index		283
Authors.....		287