

Contents

Acknowledgments	9
Introduction	11
I “The Most Serious Crisis So Far:” The January 1963 Caesura	33
1 De Gaulle’s Press Conference of 14 January 1963	34
1.1 The British Common Market Bid	35
1.2 French Reform Claims and Nuclear Weapons	37
1.3 Intra-Alliance Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons and the Nassau Summit	41
1.4 The Press Conference	47
2 The French-German Elysée Treaty of 22 January 1963	50
2.1 The Fouchet Plans and French-German Cooperation	51
2.2 The Elysée Treaty	53
2.3 Shock in Washington and Apprehension in Europe	56
3 The NATO Link	60
3.1 Implications for NATO	61
3.2 The Renewed MLF Debate	67
3.3 Reflecting the Crisis – Observers’ Views	72
3.4 Damage Control à la NATO Council	76
3.5 May 1963: Ministers Discuss the State of the Alliance	82
4 Conclusion	89
II “Facing a Period of Doubt” – NATO, France, Détente, 1963/64	93
1 Attempts at Grappling with French Policies, Fall 1963	94
1.1 French Fleet Withdrawal and NATO Military Strategy	94
1.2 Atlantic Tensions Go Public in <i>Foreign Affairs</i>	101
1.3 Doubts and Questions in the Summer and Fall of 1963	107
1.4 NATO Arguments over Détente, December 1963	113
2 Perplexity Rather than Solution: NATO and de Gaulle, Spring 1964	117
2.1 “Limited Cohesion:” The Alliance One Year After the Clash	118
2.2 “We Must Find Out Where We Stand” – Stikker and France	124
2.3 Interpreting de Gaulle: “Why He Does It Is A Riddle”	129
2.4 Small Allies Taking Charge, May 1964	134
3 Conclusion	141

III	“Ensure that NATO Survives:” Reflections Prevailing, Summer – December 1964	143
1	Securing the Drive – NATO in Early Fall 1964	144
1.1	Follow-up on The Hague: Summit Ideas and Study Proposals	144
1.2	The Transition from Stikker to Brosio as Triggering Effect for Movement in NATO	151
1.3	The MLF Controversy and its Impact on the Vigor of NATO	157
2	Struggling for Definitions – NATO in the Winter of 1964	164
2.1	“We Are in an Awkward Transition Period”– Assessing the Challenges	165
2.2	Crisis or No Crisis? The Run-Up to the Winter Convention	170
2.3	Avoid Confrontation, Study the Future: The December 1964 Meeting	177
3	Conclusion	185
IV	“Stepping Up the Pace:” Crisis Peak, Spring and Summer 1965	187
1	Sorting Out French Intentions, Spring 1965	188
1.1	Canada’s Proposal to Study the Future of the Alliance	188
1.2	Pondering the Consequences of French Dissociation	195
1.3	Shattering of Hopes for a Mutually Agreeable NATO Solution	201
1.4	Assuming Leadership – the US at the May 1965 Meeting	206
2	From Multilateralism to Classical Diplomacy, Summer 1965	215
2.1	Institutionalizing the Debate on the State of the Alliance?	216
2.2	Nuclear Sharing or Nuclear Consultation? Acting on the NATO Crisis	221
2.3	Crisis in the EEC and NATO Consultations in Europe	228
3	Conclusion	235
V	“Getting the Alliance Moving Again:” Crisis vs. Opportunity, Fall 1965 – Spring 1966	237
1	NATO Planning at Full Speed, Fall 1965	238
1.1	Washington’s Focus on Trilateral Measures and Institutional Leadership	239
1.2	Confrontation or No Confrontation? Allied Strategies toward France	245
1.3	Back on Track: The Alliance in December 1965	254
2	The Crisis Enacted at Last: The French Withdrawal, Spring 1966	261
2.1	De Gaulle’s Announcement	262
2.2	Crisis as Solution? Allied Perceptions of the French Move	268
3	Conclusion	279

Conclusion	281
Abbreviations	293
Bibliography	295