

# Contents

<i>Part I: Introduction</i>	13
1. Introduction	15
<i>Part II: A Short Introduction into Foreign Investment and its Legal Regime</i>	17
2. Foreign Investment	19
2.1. Why Foreign Investment?	19
2.2. What is Foreign Investment exactly?	20
2.3. Short History of Foreign Investment	20
2.4. Volume of Foreign Direct Investment	21
2.5. Pros and Cons of Foreign Direct Investment	22
3. Investment Protection Law	24
3.1. Treaty Law	24
3.2. Customary International Law	26
3.3. Jurisdiction	27
3.4. In Detail: Bilateral Investment Treaties (BITs)	27
3.5. In Detail: State Contracts	29
4. The Rule of Law and its Attraction of FDI	32
4.1. Meaning of the “Rule of Law”	32
4.2. The “Reform Menu”	33
4.3. The Relationship between the Rule of Law and FDI	34
4.3.1. Rule of Law as a (Secondary) Determinant for Investment Decisions	35
4.3.2. Bounded Rationality of Foreign Investors	36
4.3.3. Practical Example for Role of the Rule of Law	37
4.3.4. Digression: Different Motivations for FDI	37
4.3.5. Different Sensitivity to the Rule of Law	38
4.3.6. The Critical Mass Theory	39
4.4. FDI in the Rule of Law Reform Process	40
4.5. Integrating FDI into the Rule of Law Reform Process	41
4.6. Conclusions	42

<i>Part III: Stabilization Clauses in State Contracts</i>	45
5. What are Stabilization Clauses?	47
5.1. Introductory Remarks	47
5.2. Varieties of Stabilization Clauses	47
5.2.1. Freezing Clauses/Incorporation Clauses	48
5.2.2. Non-application Clauses	48
5.2.3. Intangibility Clauses	49
5.2.4. Limited Classic Stabilization Clauses	49
5.2.5. Economic Stabilization Clauses	50
5.2.6. Limited Economic Stabilization Clauses	53
5.3. Relevance of Stabilization Clauses	53
6. Interpretations of Legal Effects	56
6.1. Classic Stabilization Clauses as Internationalization Clauses	56
6.1.1. Direct Internationalization	56
6.1.2. Indirect Internationalization	57
6.2. Classic Stabilization Clauses as Choice of Time Clauses	57
6.2.1. Conflict of Laws v. Material Law Choice	57
6.2.2. Stabilization Clause as a Conflict of Law Choice	58
6.2.3. Stabilization Clause as a Material Law Choice	60
6.3. Conclusions about the Legal Effects of Classic Stabilization Clauses	60
7. Conclusions about Stabilization Clauses in State Contracts	62
 <i>Part IV: Validity of Stabilization Clauses</i>	 65
8. Concerns related to Domestic Law	68
9. Concerns related to the Sovereignty of the Host State	70
10. Concerns related to the Pursuit of Public Welfare	72
11. Conclusions	73
 <i>Part V: Legal Effects of Violating Stabilization Clauses</i>	 75
12. Compensatory Measures under International Law	78
12.1. Expropriations	78
12.1.1. Nationalizations as Wide-Scale Expropriations	78
12.1.2. Expropriations under International Law	79
12.1.3. Hull Formula, Calvo Doctrine and Appropriate Compensation	79
12.1.4. Current Developments concerning Compensation Standards	81

## Contents

12.2. Indirect Expropriations	82
12.2.1. Case Law	84
12.2.2. Separation between Indirect Expropriation and Legal Regulation	85
12.3. Regulatory Takings	86
12.4. Measures below the expropriating Threshold	87
12.5. Conclusions	88
13. Compensatory Measures under Stabilization Clauses	90
13.1. Implications of “Classic Stabilization Clauses” on the Threshold Triggering a Compensatory Duty	90
13.2. Implications of “Economic Stabilization Clauses” on the Threshold Triggering a Compensatory Duty	91
<i>Part VI: Tension between Regulation and Stabilization</i>	93
14. Stabilization Clauses Generating the Tension between Stabilization and Regulation	95
15. The Chilling Effects of Compensatory Duties	97
15.1. The “Regulatory Chill Effect”	97
15.1.1. Host States’ Right and Duty to Regulate	98
15.1.2. Host States’ Duty to Protect	98
15.1.3. Low Human Rights and Environmental Standards	100
15.2. The “Selective Regulation”	100
16. Balancing the Tension—Regulatory Takings	102
16.1. International Law	103
16.1.1. European Convention of Human Rights	103
16.1.2. NAFTA	104
16.1.3. COMESA	105
16.1.4. The Widely Used Canadian Model BIT	106
16.1.5. Free Trade Agreement between the United States and Chile	109
16.1.6. American Law Institute’s Restatement Third of Foreign Relations Law of the United States	109
16.2. Literature	110
16.3. Case Law	111
16.3.1. Degree of Interference with the Property Right	111
16.3.2. Purpose of the Governmental Measure	114
16.3.3. Proportionality of the Governmental Measure	116
16.3.4. Reasonable Expectations of the Foreign Investor	116
16.3.5. Conclusions	116
17. Balancing the Tension—Stabilization Clauses	118

17.1. International Law	118
17.2. International Literature	118
17.3. Case Law	121
17.4. Conclusion	122
<i>Part VII: Proposals to Solve the Tension</i>	125
18. Proposals for Regulatory Takings	127
18.1. Categorization of Drafting Ideas	127
18.1.1. Broad Escape Clause	127
18.1.2. Purpose-Based Escape Clause	127
18.1.3. Area of Law-Focused Escape Clause	128
18.1.4. Source of Law-Related Escape Clause	128
18.1.5. Situation-Based Escape Clause	129
18.1.6. Definition of Expropriation	130
18.2. Model Clauses	130
18.2.1. International Institute for Sustainable Development Model BIT	130
18.2.2. Abandoned, but Modern Norwegian Model BIT	131
18.3. Conclusions and Drafting Proposal	133
19. Proposals for Stabilization Clauses	136
19.1. Ex Post-Tools	136
19.1.1. Interpretational Tool	137
19.1.2. Amendment Tools	138
19.2. Ex Ante Tools	139
19.2.1. Drafting Proposal of a Model Escape Clause	139
19.2.2. Improving the Bargaining Process	142
19.3. Conclusions	146
<i>Part VIII: Case Study: The Baku-Tbilisi-Ceyhan (BTC) Main Export Pipeline</i>	149
20. Project Background	151
20.1. Factual Background	151
20.2. Legal Background	153
20.2.1. The Prevailing Legal Regime	154
20.2.2. The Economic Stabilization Clause	155
21. The Human Rights Undertaking	159
21.1. The Wording	159
21.2. The Legal Effects	160
21.3. Analysis	161

## Contents

<i>Part IX: Main Theses</i>	163
22. Main Theses	165
23. Hauptthesen	168
Bibliography	171